

WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION International Bureau



INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PC 1)

(51) International Patent Classification 6: C11D 3/12, 3/37, 7/20, 9/18, 17/06

A1

(11) International Publication Number:

WO 97/09406

(43) International Publication Date:

13 March 1997 (13.03.97)

(21) International Application Number:

PCT/US96/14106

(22) International Filing Date:

3 September 1996 (03.09.96)

(81) Designated States: BR, CA, CN, JP, MX, US, European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE).

(30) Priority Data:

95306121.5 1 September 1995 (01.09.95) (34) Countries for which the regional or international application was filed:

BE et al.

EP

(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): THE PROC-TER & GAMBLE COMPANY [US/US]; One Procter & Gamble Plaza, Cincinnati, Ohio 45202 (US).

(72) Inventors; and

(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): FENNEY, Michael, Keith [GB/GB]; 2 Meadow Rise, Kenton, Newcastle-upon-Tyne NE5 4TR (GB). WEVERS, Jean [BE/BE]; Heide 17, B-1840 Steenhuffel (BE).

(74) Agents: REED, T., David et al.; The Procter & Gamble Company, 5299 Spring Grove Avenue, Cincinnati, OH 45217 (US).

Published

With international search report.

Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of amendments.

(54) Title: DETERGENT COMPOSITION COMPRISING CLAY FLOCCULATING POLYMER WITH PARTICLE SIZE LESS THAN 250 MICRONS

(57) Abstract

The invention relates to a granular detergent composition comprising (i) a softening clay and (ii) a clay flocculating polymer, and to a process for making the composition. The composition is particularly useful in "softening-through-the-wash" products. The clay flocculating polymer is sieved or ground such that at least 95 % by weight of the clay flocculating polymer has a particle size of less than 250 micrometers, and preferably less than 150 micrometers, before adding it to the granular detergent composition.

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AM	Armenia	G B	United Kingdom	MW	Malawi
AT	Austria	GE	Georgia	MX	Mexico
ΑÜ	Australia	GN	Guinea	NE	Niger
B B	Barbados	GR	Greece	NL	Netherlands
BE	Belgium	HU	Hungary	NO	Norway
BF	Burkina Faso	IE	Ireland	NZ	New Zealand
BG	Bulgaria	IT	Italy	PL	Poland.
BJ	Benin	JP	Japan	PT	Portugal
BR	Brazil	KE	Kenya	RO	Romania
BY	Belarus	KG	Kyrgystan	RU	Russian Federation
CA	Canada	KP	Democratic People's Republic	SD	Sudan
CF	Central African Republic		of Korea	SE	Sweden
CG	Congo	KIR	Republic of Korea	SG	Singapore
CH	Switzerland	KZ	Kazakhstan	SI	Slovenia
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	LI	Liechtenstein	SK	Slovakia
CM	Cameroon	LK	Sri Lanka	SN	Senegal
CN	China	LR	Liberia	SZ	Swaziland
CS	Czechoslovakia	LT	Lithuania	TD	Chad
CZ	Czech Republic	LU	Luxembourg	TG	Togo
DE	Germany	LV	Larvia	TJ	Tajikistan
DK	Denmark	MC	Monaco	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
EE	Estonia	MD	Republic of Moldova	UA	Ukraine
ES	Spain	MG	Madagascar	UG	Uganda
FI	Finland	ML	Mali	US	United States of America
FR	France	MN	Mangalia	U Z	Uzbekistan
GA	Gabon	. MR	Mauritania	VN	Viet Nam
	•				

DETERGENT COMPOSITION COMPRISING CLAY FLOCCULATING POLYMER WITH PARTICLE SIZE LESS THAN 250 MICRONS.

The invention relates to a granular detergent composition comprising (i) a softening clay and (ii) a clay flocculating polymer, and to a process for making the composition. The composition is particularly useful in "softening-through-the-wash" products.

Clay flocculating polymer, such as polyethylene oxide having high molecular weight, is commercially available as a 100% active powder.

EP-A-0 299 575, published on 18th January 1989, discloses granular detergent compositions comprising softening clay and polymeric clay-flocculating polymer. It is stated that in preparing a granular detergent composition the polymeric clay-flocculating agent can be added in a variety of ways. It may be added to the crutcher mix prior to spray-drying; or it may be sprayed onto a granular detergent from a solution in water or an organic solvent; or it may be dry-mixed, in the form of particles, with a granular detergent. This application does not mention preferred particle size of clay flocculating polymer.

However, when polymeric clay flocculating polymers are dry mixed to granular detergents, undesirable patchy clay deposition or residues are observed. Patchy deposition is where visible lumps of clay deposit on a fabric surface. It is caused when the clay particles are flocculated very rapidly before they have had chance to disperse. This leads to the formation of lumps of clay which deposit on the fabric surface. Residues are caused when high local concentrations of the clay flocculating polymer, present in the formulation, form gels on contact with water, leading to poor dispensing of the product and an increased risk of product deposition on clothes.

Furthermore, clay flocculating polymers when handled in particulate form, give rise to handling and explosivity problems.

Summary of the Invention

According to the present invention undesirable patchy clay deposition and residues are avoided by sieving or grinding the clay flocculating polymer such that at least

95% by weight of the clay flocculating polymer has a particle size of less than 250 micrometers, and preferably less than 150 micrometers, before adding it to the granular detergent composition.

Preferably the clay flocculating polymer is a polyethylene oxide with an average molecular weight of between 100 000 and 10 million, more preferably between 150 000 and 800 000.

In a further aspect of the invention, a process for making a granular detergent composition is provided, comprising the step of premixing the clay flocculating polymer with a powder selected from the group consisting of aluminosilicate, silicate, carbonate, citrate, phosphate, or mixtures thereof, and subsequently mixing the premix with other detergent components.

Preferably the premix consists of the clay flocculating polymer and aluminosilicate in a ratio of from 1:20 to 20:1.

Detailed Description of the Invention

Softening clay

Softening clays may be either unmodified or organically modified. Those clays which are not organically modified can be described as expandable, three-layered clays, i.e., aluminosilicates and magnesium silicates, having an ion exchange capacity of at least 50 meq/100g. of clay and preferably at least 60 meq/100 g. of clay. The starting clays for the organically modified clays can be similarly described. The term "expandable" as used to describe clays relates to the ability of the layered clay structure to be swollen, or expanded, on contact with water. The three-layer expandable clays used herein are those materials classified geologically as smectites. There are two distinct classes of smectite-type clays that can be broadly differentiated on the basis of the numbers of octahedral metal-oxygen arrangements in the central layer for a given number of silicon-oxygen atoms in outer layers. A more complete description of clay minerals is given in "Clay Colloid Chemistry" by H. van Olphen, John Wiley & Sons (Interscience Publishers), New York, 1963. Chapter 6, especially pages 66-69.

.....

- 8

The family of smectite (or montmorillonoid) clays includes the following trioctahedral minerals: talc; hectorite; saponite; sauconite; vermiculite; and the following dioctahedral minerals: prophyllite; montmorillonite; volchonskoite and nontronite.

The clays employed in these compositions contain cationic counterions such as protons, sodium ions, potassium ions, calcium ions, and lithium ions. It is customary to distinguish between clays on the basis of one cation predominantly or exclusively absorbed. For example, a sodium clay is one in which the absorbed cation is predominantly sodium. Such absorbed cations can become involved in exchange reactions with cations present in aqueous solutions. A typical exchange reaction involving a smectite-type clay is expressed by the following equation:

smectite clay (Na)⁺ + NH₄OH => smectite clay (NH₄)⁺ + NaOH. Since in the foregoing equilibrium reaction, an equivalent weight of ammonium ion replaces an equivalent weight of sodium, it is customary to measure cation exchange capacity (sometimes termed "base exchange capacity") in terms of milliequivalents per 100 g. of clay (meq/100g). The cation exchange capacity of clays can be measured in several ways, including by electrodialysis, by exchange with ammonium ion followed by titration, or by a methylene blue procedure, all as fully set forth in Grimshaw, "The Chemistry and Physics of Clays", pp. 264-265, Interscience (1971). The cation exchange capacity of a clay material relates to such factors as the expandable properties of the clay, the charge of the clay (which in turn is determined at least in part by the lattice structure), and the like. The ion exchange capacity of clays varies widely in the range form about 2 meq/100 g. of kaolinites to about 150 meq/100 g., and greater, for certain smectite clays.

Preferred smectite-type clays are sodium montmorillonite, potassium montmorillonite, sodium hectorite and potassium hectorite. The clays used herein have a particle size range of up to about 1 micron.

Any of the clays used herein may be either naturally or synthetically derived.

Clay Flocculating Polymer

Most clay flocculating polymers are fairly long chained polymers and co-polymers derived from such monomers as ethylene oxide, acrylamide, acrylic acid, dimethylamino ethyl methacrylate, vinyl alcohol, vinyl pyrrolidone and ethylene imine. Gums, like guar gum, are suitable as well.

4

Preferred are polymers of ethylene oxide, acrylamide or acrylic acid. These polymers dramatically enhance the deposition of a fabric softening clay if their molecular weights are in the range of from 100 000 to 10 million. Preferred are such polymers having a weight average molecular weight of from 150000 to 5 million.

The most preferred polymer is poly (ethylene oxide). Molecular weight distributions can be readily determined using gel permeation chromatography, against standards of poly (ethylene oxide) of narrow molecular weight distributions.

Process

The particle size of the polymer is reduced by either a standard milling operation or through physical screening of the particles. The raw material is then optionally mixed with a powder, such as fine aluminosilicate (Zeolite type A) in a mixer and then added to a finished product process. The zeolite acts as a carrier for the polymer, helping to aid its dispersion in the finished product making.

Many types of particle size alteration equipment can be used to reduce the mean particle size of the clay flocculating polymer to below 250 micrometers. Continuous screens such as Russel Finex and Mogenson vibratory screens, or continuous scraper screens. Batch screening operations involving RoTap variants are also applicable for small quantities. For larger scale operations, continuous air jet mills can be used that both size reduce and classify the material at the same time.

In a particular embodiment of the invention the polymer particles may be treated by micronisation to further reduce mean particle size.

Other detergent components

The particulate components of the present invention will normally be incorporated into finished detergent products, especially those comprising softening clay. Other conventional detergent ingredients such as anionic and nonionic surfactants, builders, bleach, bleach activator, suds suppressor, enzymes (e.g. protease, amylase, cellulase, lipase), perfume brightener, soil release polymer will commonly be used.

7,-

-

٠٠=

5

EXAMPLES

In these examples all percentages are by weight unless otherwise specified, and the following abbreviations have been used:

PEO (as is): Polyethyleneoxide polymer as supplied by
Union Carbide as WSRN750 (Trade Name). Typical particle size distribution:
2% above 710 micrometers

8% between 710 and 500 micrometers 20% between 500 and 250 micrometers 30% between 250 and 150 micrometers 40% below 150 micrometers

PEO (sized): As above but with 95% of the material above 150 micrometers removed via a size reduction process. Typical particle size distribution:

At least 100% below 300 micrometers At least 95% below 150 micrometers At least 50% below 106 micrometers

Zeolite A: supplied by Industrial Zeolite Ltd., Thurrock, England. Typical mean particle size: 2-10 micrometers.

Light Soda Ash A: light density sodium carbonate, typically 97% pure carbonate, a mean particle size of approx 100 micrometers, and an aerated density averaging 550 g/l.

Example 1

A sample of PEO (as is) was converted to PEO (sized) by the procedure of RoTap batch screening on a 150 micrometer sieve. The resulting polymer was mixed to a homogeneous state in a small scale food processor with the ratio: 1 part PEO (sized) to 2 parts Zeolite A.

The PEO/Zeolite A premix was mixed in a batch rotary mixer together with the particulate components of a "softening through the wash" detergent composition shown below. Liquid components were then sprayed on. The finished composition has excellent clay deposition and softening properties.

	Example 1 (% by weight)
PEO / Zeolite A premix	0.5
Softening clay	18
Anionic Surfactant Agglomerate	26
Cationic Surfactant Agglomerate	6
Nonionic Surfactant	3
Sodium Percarbonate	13
Layered Silicate / Citric Acid	12
Zeolite	4
Bleach Activator Particle	4
Suds Suppressor	3.5
Sodium Carbonate, Enzymes, Perfume, Soil Release	balance to 100
Polymer, Brightener and other minors	

Example 2

Example 1 was repeated, except that the size reduction step was completed by passing PEO (as is) through a continuous Russel Finex vibratory screening system loaded with a 150 micrometer sieve.

Example 3

Example 1 was repeated, except the size reduction procedure involves milling the PEO (as is) in a small batch coffee grinder and then completing the RoTap batch screening. This eliminates any PEO (as is) wastage.

Example 4

Example 1 was repeated except size reduction procedure was carried out by passing PEO (as is) through a standard non-vibrating sieve deck loaded with a 150 micrometer screen and fitted with rotary brushes to improve screening efficiency.

- 100 pg. 2

7

Example 5

Example 1 was repeated, except size reduction procedure was carried out by passing PEO (as is) through a standard Air Jet Mill operating such that only particles below 150 micrometers are entrained in the air flow and removed for collection. This procedure eliminates wastage of PEO (as is) and is useful for large scale volume requirements.

Example 6

Example 4 was repeated, except the PEO (sized) was mixed with Zeolite A in a batch vertomixer, or similar equipment, incorporating a conical mixer with a screw rotating on its own axis which at the same time orbits the mixing chamber.

Example 7

Example 6 was repeated, except the resultant premix contains a ratio of 1 part PEO (sized) to 1 part Zeolite A.

Example 8

Example 6 was repeated, except the resultant premix contains a ratio of 1 part PEO (sized) to 10 parts Zeolite A.

Example 9

Example 8 was repeated, except that the resultant premix contains a ratio of 1 part PEO (sized) to 10 parts fine light soda ash.

Example 10

Example 6 was repeated except that the premix was added directly to a continuous detergent production process before any liquid spray ons.

8.

Example 11

Example 6 was repeated, except that the premix was dusted onto the surface of a detergent produced on a continuous production process, after any liquid spray ons.

What is claimed is:

- 1. A granular detergent composition comprising (i) a softening clay and (ii) a clay flocculating polymer characterised in that at least 95% by weight of the clay flocculating polymer has a particle size of less than 250 micrometers.
- 2. A granular detergent composition according to claim 1 wherein at least 95% by weight of the clay flocculating polymer has a particle size of less than 150 micrometers.
- 3. A granular detergent composition according to either claim 1 or claim 2 wherein the clay flocculating polymer is a polyethylene oxide with an average molecular weight of between 100 000 and 10 million.
- 4. A granular detergent composition according to claim 3 wherein the clay flocculating polymer is a polyethylene oxide with an average molecular weight of between 150 000 and 800 000.
- 5. A process for making a granular detergent composition according to any of the previous claims, further comprising a powder selected from the group consisting of aluminosilicate, silicate, carbonate, citrate, phosphate, or mixtures thereof, and comprising the step of premixing the clay flocculating polymer with the powder to form a premix, and subsequently mixing the premix with other detergent components.
- 6. A process according to claim 5 wherein the premix consists of the clay flocculating polymer and aluminosilicate in a ratio of from 1:20 to 20:1.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/US96/14106

	PCT/US96/14	
A CL	ASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER	
IPC(6)	:C11D 3/12, 3/37, 7/20, 9/19, 17/26	
According	:510/308, 322, 334, 360, 475, 506, 507,	
B. FIF	g to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC ELDS SEARCHED	
W. Introduction	documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)	
U.S. :	510/308, 322, 334, 360, 475, 506, 507.	
Document	ation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included	d in the fields second at
		a m die tiestis sesticited
Plant		
Executotic	data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable	seasob teams was the
search t	terms: polyethyleneoxide?, poly, ethylene, polyethylene, oxide?, flocculat?, clay?, b	hentonito? dos
		Soutoniter, detergr
C. 100	CUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT	
Category*	Citation of document with indication under	
	was united by where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim
X	US 5,443,750 A (CONVENTS ET AL.) 22 August 1995	
	(22/08/95), see col. 3, II. 30-31; col. 13, I. 65 - col. 15, I.	1-4
	16, especially col. 1,4 l. 66 - col. 15, l. 4; col. 16, ll. 36-41;	•
1	Table V Examples III IV VIII 15, 1, 4; COI. 16, II. 36-41;	
	i vi	
	25-27).	
	25-27).	
•	25-27). US 4,844,821 A (MERMELSTEIN ET AL.) 04 July 1920.	1-4
4	i vi	1-4
•	25-27). US 4,844,821 A (MERMELSTEIN ET AL.) 04 July 1920.	1-4
	25-27). US 4,844,821 A (MERMELSTEIN ET AL.) 04 July 1920.	1-4
	25-27). US 4,844,821 A (MERMELSTEIN ET AL.) 04 July 1920.	1-4
	25-27). US 4,844,821 A (MERMELSTEIN ET AL.) 04 July 1920.	1-4
	25-27). US 4,844,821 A (MERMELSTEIN ET AL.) 04 July 1920.	1-4
	25-27). US 4,844,821 A (MERMELSTEIN ET AL.) 04 July 1920.	1-4
	25-27). US 4,844,821 A (MERMELSTEIN ET AL.) 04 July 1920.	1-4
	25-27). US 4,844,821 A (MERMELSTEIN ET AL.) 04 July 1920.	1-4
	25-27). US 4,844,821 A (MERMELSTEIN ET AL.) 04 July 1920.	1-4
	25-27). US 4,844,821 A (MERMELSTEIN ET AL.) 04 July 1989 (04/07/89), see Examples 2 & 7 in cols. 21-22.	1-4
	25-27). US 4,844,821 A (MERMELSTEIN ET AL.) 04 July 1989 (04/07/89), see Examples 2 & 7 in cols. 21-22.	1-4
Further	25-27). US 4,844,821 A (MERMELSTEIN ET AL.) 04 July 1989 (04/07/89), see Examples 2 & 7 in cols. 21-22.	
Further Specification of the second s	25-27). US 4,844,821 A (MERMELSTEIN ET AL.) O4 July 1989 (04/07/89), see Examples 2 & 7 in cols. 21-22. If documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. See patent family annex. In contract of cited documents: The second document published after the interned see and act in conflict with the interned see and act i	ational filing date or priority
Further Specification to be	25-27). US 4,844,821 A (MERMELSTEIN ET AL.) 04 July 1989 (04/07/89), see Examples 2 & 7 in cols. 21-22. If documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. See patent family annex. In consports of cited documents: In consports of cited d	ational filing date or priority in but cited to underwand the
Further Speci	25-27). US 4,844,821 A (MERMELSTEIN ET AL.) O4 July 1989 (O4/07/89), see Examples 2 & 7 in cols. 21-22. If documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. See patent family annex. Inter document published after the international of particular relevance: the class of the clas	ational filing date or priority as but cited to understand the
Further Special documents to be carried documents of the carried docume	25-27). US 4,844,821 A (MERMELSTEIN ET AL.) 04 July 1989 (04/07/89), see Examples 2 & 7 in cols. 21-22. If documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. See patent family annex. In a document published after the internation of particular relevance of particular relevance of particular relevance in the considered are document published on or after the internation of particular relevance in the considered are document published on or after the internation of particular relevance in the considered are document published on or after the internation of particular relevance in the considered are document published on or after the internation of particular relevance in the considered are of particular relevance; the closured to considered are of particular relevances in taken alone.	ational filing date or priority as but cited to understand the
Further Special documents to be cartiol documents appeared to the cartiol	25-27). US 4,844,821 A (MERMELSTEIN ET AL.) 04 July 1989 (04/07/89), see Examples 2 & 7 in cols. 21-22. In conserving are listed in the continuation of Box C. In cols. 21-22. See patent family annex. In conserving the general state of the srt which is not considered of particular relevance of particular relevance or decomment which may throw doubts on priority chains(s) or which is to combine the publications date of mother citation or other and reasons (se specifical).	ational filing date or priority in but cited to understand the ion
Further Special documents to be cartion documents of the cartion docume	US 4,844,821 A (MERMELSTEIN ET AL.) O4 July 1989 (04/07/89), see Examples 2 & 7 in cols. 21-22. In designing the general state of the set which is not considered or particular relevance; the cit consolidated may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is no considered with one or mother alore set referring to an oral discionure, use, exhibition or other	ational filing date or priority in but cited to understand the ion laimed invention cannot be to involve an inventive step laimed invention cannot be up when the document is
Further Special documents to be cartic documents documen	To considered sort of particular relevance; the constinuate of particular relevance; the comment published on or after the international filing date means referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other as means published prior to the international filing date means published on or after the international filing date or considered or particular relevance; the closure of particular relevance; the closure o	ational filing date or priority in but cited to understand the ion. Inimed invention cannot be to involve an inventive step taken the document is notened; such combination of
Further Special documents to be cartiol documents documents documents documents the present documents and documents documents and documents an	US 4,844,821 A (MERMELSTEIN ET AL.) 04 July 1989 (04/07/89), see Examples 2 & 7 in cols. 21-22. See patent family annex. To consider of cited documents: mont defining the general state of the art which is not considered of particular relevance; the considered at the constitution of particular relevance; the considered at the constitution of an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other at mont published prior to the international filing date that the art which is a considered to involve an investigation of an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other art than the publication of the art which is the publication date of monther clination or other art than the publication date of monther clination or other art than the publication of the same patent family date chained.	ational filing date or priority to but cited to understand the ion laisted invention cannot be to involve an inventive step fairned invention cannot be p when the document is cuments, such combination of
Further Special documents to be cartiol documents documents documents documents the present documents and documents documents and documents an	US 4,844,821 A (MERMELSTEIN ET AL.) 04 July 1989 (04/07/89), see Examples 2 & 7 in cols. 21-22. See patent family annex. To consider of cited documents: mont defining the general state of the art which is not considered of particular relevance; the considered at the constitution of particular relevance; the considered at the constitution of an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other at mont published prior to the international filing date that the art which is a considered to involve an investigation of an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other art than the publication of the art which is the publication date of monther clination or other art than the publication date of monther clination or other art than the publication of the same patent family date chained.	ational filing date or priority to but cited to underward the ion laisted invention cannot be to involve an inventive step fairned invention cannot be p when the document is accuments, such combination of
Further Special documents to be carticol documents documents documents documents be presented to the acceptance of the a	To columents are listed in the continuation of Box C. See patent family annex. See patent family annex. Inter documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. See patent family annex. Inter document published documents: Inter document published of or after the international filing date ment which any throw doubt on priority chains(a) or which is to considered and reason (as specified) Inter document published after the international filing date with the publication date of mother chains or other all reason (as specified) The document published on or after the international filing date but later than the document of particular relevance; the closurished with an or accomment of particular relevance; the closurished with one published prior to the international filing date but later than the document member of the same patent fam document member of the same patent fam document member of the international search Date of mailing of the international search	ational filing date or priority to but cited to understand the ion laisted invention cannot be to involve an inventive step fairned invention cannot be p when the document is cuments, such combination of
Further Special documents	25-27). US 4,844,821 A (MERMELSTEIN ET AL.) 04 July 1989 (04/07/89), see Examples 2 & 7 in cols. 21-22. See patent family annex. In categories of cited documents: In consideration of the general state of the set which is not considered of particular relevance of particular relevance; the classified on or after the international filing date or other of reason (se appecification date of monther citation or other and the comment published prior to the international filing date but later than the completion of the international filing date but later than the completion of the international search of the same patent fam. Date of mailing of the international search of the same patent fam.	ational filing date or priority to but cited to underward the ion laisted invention cannot be to involve an inventive step fairned invention cannot be p when the document is accuments, such combination of
Further Special documents to be cardio documents documents be proposed to the accordance of the accord	25-27). US 4,844,821 A (MERMELSTEIN ET AL.) O4 July 1989 (04/07/89), see Examples 2 & 7 in cols. 21-22. See patent family annex. Indicategories of cited documents: Indica	ational filing date or priority to but cited to underward the ion laisted invention cannot be to involve an inventive step fairned invention cannot be p when the document is accuments, such combination of
Further Special documents to be cartic documents produced the produced	25-27). US 4,844,821 A (MERMELSTEIN ET AL.) 04 July 1989 (04/07/89), see Examples 2 & 7 in cols. 21-22. In cols. 21-22. See patent family annex. In cols. 21-22. In cols. 2	ational filing date or priority to but cited to understand the ion laisted invention cannot be to involve an inventive step fairned invention cannot be p when the document is cuments, such combination of
Further Special documents be carried documents per the of the act	25-27). US 4,844,821 A (MERMELSTEIN ET AL.) 04 July 1989 (04/07/89), see Examples 2 & 7 in cols. 21-22. In cols. 21-22. See patent family annex. In cols and family the general state of the set which is not considered of particular relevance or decrement published on or after the international filing date or other all reason (as specified) The completion of the international filing date but later than an or after the international filing date but later than a freeze or decrement published prior to the international filing date but later than a freeze or decrement published prior to the international filing date but later than a freeze or decrement published prior to the international filing date but later than a freeze or decrement published prior to the international search R 1996 Iling address of the ISA/US of Patents and Trademarks Authorized officer	ational filing date or priority to but cited to underward the ion laisted invention cannot be to involve an inventive step fairned invention cannot be p when the document is accuments, such combination of

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No. PCT/US96/14106

Box I Ohe	ervations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 1 of first shee	
This internati	onal report has not been established in respect f certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following	reasons:
	aims Nos.: cause they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:	
L be	nims Nos.: cause they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requiestent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:	irements to such
3. X CL	ums Nos.: 5 & 6	
	suse they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of	of Rule 6.4(a).
Box II Obse	rvations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)	
	onal Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:	· ·
	the state of the s	•
		.*
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
1. As clai	all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report of ms.	overs all scarchable
2. As of a	all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did my additional fee.	I not invite payment
3. As only	only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international sy those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:	search report covers
4. No rest	required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this internation ricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:	nal scarch report is
Remark on P	The additional search feet turns assessmented by the sealth and	
	The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest. No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.	
	Promote and payment of management rection rect.	

Form FCT/ISA/210 (continuation of first sheet(1))(July 1992)*

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)